National Society Daughters of the American Revolution

OFFICE OF THE RECORDING SECRETARY GENERAL
1776 D Street NW, Washington, DC 20006-5303 ★ Phone (202) 879-3275 ★ Fax (202) 879-3252

October 26, 2026

Dear Mrs.Beard:

Enclosed is a copy of charges submitted by a group of at least five NSDAR members pursuant to Article XIX, Section 2, of the NSDAR National Bylaws.

The enclosed materials are being transmitted to you by the Office of the Recording Secretary General as part of the National Society's administration of the Article XIX process.

Sincerely,

Colleen Rafferty Joyce
Recording Secretary General

IN RE: DISCIPLINARY PETITION OF ALICE BEARD

Prepared October 19, 2026 | Requested review pursuant to Article XIX of the NSDAR National Bylaws

I. Respondent Information

Name Alice Beard

Chapter To be supplied

State Society Maryland

II. Basis for Petition

The undersigned members in good standing of the National Society Daughters of the American Revolution respectfully request investigation of the Facebook publications reproduced in Exhibits A–D. Petitioners allege that the publications constitute conduct calculated to disturb the harmony of the National Society, injure its good name, or hamper its work.

Purpose and Scope

This petition addresses the four publications supplied with this request, including personally identifying disclosures, derogatory references to members, and public disparagement of leadership. No prior petition or signatures are incorporated.

III. Statement of Material Facts

A. August 8 — Identifying disclosures and research.

The screenshot displays Alice Beard and August 8. The author states that within 30 minutes she located a person's name, date of birth, and relatives' names. An accompanying image displays a residential address and identifying family information while the post questions the person's sex and DAR membership. (Exhibit A.)

Petitioners allege that publishing those details in this context constitutes doxxing and exposes the person and relatives to unwanted targeting. The screenshot documents the displayed disclosure; the author's intent, the accuracy of the information, and resulting harm remain matters for investigation.

B. October 3, 10:51 a.m. — Disparagement of leadership.

The author alleges that DAR leadership has been captured by political extremists, uses derogatory language concerning transgender inclusion, and states that the organization is being destroyed. She shares a post attributed to Karen Franklin-Scott in Daughters Advocating for Restoration, captioned as a resignation letter. Its underlying text is not visible and is not adopted as evidence of its contents. (Exhibit B.)

Petitioners allege that the degrading language and accusations against leadership contribute to public disparagement and conduct injuring the Society's good name. The political and institutional claims are the author's assertions, not established facts.

C. October 7, 12:19 p.m. — Research into members and insulting language.

The author states that she has researched transgender people connected to DAR and located identifying information online, adding that a researcher could find it. She uses derogatory terminology, an insulting reference to a potential complainant, and vulgar language concerning the Society. She also asserts that leadership misled members and suggests a possible contractual violation. (Exhibit C.)

Petitioners allege that this discussion encourages targeted scrutiny and raises concerns about intended exposure or harassment.

D. October 15, 2026 — Stigmatizing public accusations.

The petitioner identifies this post, displayed as "5 days ago," as October 15, 2026. The author alleges that DAR welcomed transgender women and removed women who objected. She uses a derogatory slur and associates transgender women with sexual violence. Petitioners allege that this language stigmatizes members and undermines respectful association. The truth of the underlying claims is not established by the screenshot. (Exhibit D.)

IV. Charges

Charge 1 — Conduct calculated to disturb the harmony of the National Society. Petitioners allege that personally identifying disclosures, discussion of researching members' identities, degrading terminology, and insults directed toward potential complainants encourage personal hostility within DAR. (Exhibits A–D.)

Charge 2 — Conduct calculated to injure the good name of the National Society or hamper its work. Petitioners allege that the public association of DAR and its leadership with stigmatizing and disparaging accusations could damage public trust and discourage participation. Actual distribution and effects should be investigated. (Exhibits A–D.)

Charge 3 — Personally directed digital disparagement and identifying disclosures. Petitioners request review under any applicable member-conduct or digital-communications policy in force. Any separate policy charge should identify the controlling provision before submission. (Exhibits A and C.)

V. Cumulative Impact

Considered together, the publications combine disclosures of identifying information, descriptions of researching members, derogatory language, and accusations against leadership. Petitioners allege that their cumulative effect could intensify hostility, discourage participation, and harm the Society's good name. Review should consider the complete context and distinguish the alleged conduct from disagreement over membership policy.

VI. Requested Action

If a violation is established, petitioners request appropriate discipline authorized by the bylaws.

VII. Petitioners

Blank signature lines are provided. Confirm the required number of signers and submission requirements before filing.

Printed Name	Signature	Date
Kay Overstreet	Kay Overstreet	10/20/2026
Nan Kilkeary	Nan Kilkeary	10/21/2026
Suzann Gallagher	Suzann Gallagher	10/21/2026
Nellie Cole	Nellie Cole	10/23/2026
Lee Holifield	Lee Holifield	10/21/2026

VIII. Exhibit Index

Exhibit A — August 8 — Identifying disclosures and research.

Exhibit B — October 3, 10:51 a.m. — Disparagement of leadership.

Exhibit C — October 7, 12:19 p.m. — Research into members and insulting language.


Exhibit D — October 15, 2026 — Stigmatizing public accusations.

EXHIBIT A

Back to Exhibit Index


August 8 — Identifying disclosures and research.

Screenshot reproduced as supplied. Source permalink to be supplied. Publication year not visible.



Alice Beard
August 8 -

Well, lo and behold! Look at what someone emailed to me anonymously at 12:27 AM. Even an unskilled genealogist could fill in the blanks with this. 30 minutes after seeing this, I had his name, date of birth, parents' names, siblings' names, grandparents' names, and one of his sister's "brag" about being the "first student poet laureate" at some school.
The real question is WHY have powers-that-be in the DAR pushed this insanity? This man has been quite open about being a biological man. He did not hide this. People at the DAR knew what he was when he came into the DAR. (For someone who can't translate, "Wilhelmina" equals "William.")
NOTE: Read my reply to Margaret Hutchison Watkins (below) for a lot more info on this. See less



NC Resident DB

Home / Cities / 27615 Raleigh / Rainwater Rd / Will

NCDEMS

Wilhelmina Scott Luzia Indermaur

Age 34, Born 1992
Raleigh, North Carolina


Wilhelmina Scott Luzia Indermaur (age 34) is currently listed on 7409 Rainwater Rd, Raleigh, NC 27615. She is a White woman, registered to vote in Wake county and has been affiliated with the Democratic Party since August 22 2023. She is also connected through public records to Elizabeth Jeanne Indermaur (age 30, born 1996), Mark Eric Indermaur (age 65, born 1961), Meredith Webster Indermaur (age 64, born 1962), and Samuel Webster Indermaur (age 22, born 2004) as relatives.

EXHIBIT B

Back to Exhibit Index

October 3, 10:51 a.m. — Disparagement of leadership.

Screenshot reproduced as supplied. Source permalink to be supplied. Publication year not visible.



Alice Beard
October 3 at 10:51 AM ·

Sharing here a public post made by a woman from Missouri. She's writing about the member schism in the organization known as "Daughters of the American Revolution" (DAR).
She writes, "If you can't define what a woman is, how can you be a woman's organization?"

The DAR has been captured at top levels by far-leftist women pushing the tranny-crap agenda. I've been a member of DAR only since 2010 and have never done more than pay my annual dues. For me, I feel no kinship to DAR and realize that in many ways it has always been a silly group. But many women have put decades of effort into the organization, building lifelong connections, only to be spat at by leftists pushing the Democrat Party's tranny-crap agenda. What is being done to these women is crushing. Their club, their social group, is being destroyed for a handful of men-who-pretend-to-be-women and to do the bidding of the Democratic Party -- which has moved into the party of "Democratic Socialists." ... More than anything, this is about the destruction of America and the advancement of "socialist" totalitarianism. See less

Karen Franklin-Scott ▸ Daughters Advocating for Restoration
October 2 at 11:14 AM ·

My resignation letter to the state regent:... See more

6

EXHIBIT C

Back to Exhibit Index

October 7, 12:19 p.m. — Research into members and insulting language.

Screenshot reproduced as supplied. Source permalink to be supplied. Publication year not visible.



Alice Beard
October 7 at 12:19 PM ·

Anyone who is still seeing the DAR of 2026 as your grandmother's DAR should take a look at this. And, as to posting images and info about men-who-pretend-to-be-women, I've been fiddling w/ some basic research on this matter for a few weeks and have found that at least one of those men himself has posted his image online, w/ info connected to the DAR. The other tranny whom I've found info on also has info online that reveals who he is. This is basic info, info that any moderate-level genealogical researcher could find w/ ease.
Linked here is a post from a DAR member who has had a "complaint" filed against her because of her "negative" comments about the DAR "leaders" who have pushed this tranny-crap on the DAR. This woman's comments much milder than I would make.
There are several legal issues here. The quick-and-dirty list includes these:
1) I joined the DAR in 2010 with the understanding that it was a WOMEN's organization. I paid dues every year with that understanding. I got absolutely nothing from paying those dues, but I did take some modest pride in saying that I was a DAR member. For the last several years, I was lied to when I paid those dues. The DAR had become something other than a women's organization. Men-who-pretend-to-be-women are a risk to women. Read the news stories, daily. This "ideology" is harmful to the max for women and girls. The DAR took my $ and the $ of other women under false pretenses.
2) If the DAR is incorporated under D.C. law, and if D.C. not-for-profit law is like New York not-for-profit law, the DAR's bylaws serve as an enforceable contract with the members, and the "leaders" who are leading the DAR off a cliff by supporting this tranny-crap may well be violating an enforceable contract.
3) And, if some dumb *ss b*tch in the DAR wants to file a "complaint" against me, go for it. I won't be dropping another dime to an organization that pushes the insane lie that men-who-pretend-to-be-women are anything other than MEN. ... And, I have several pages prepared already of something that I've written, for my own amusement.
4) X does not censor, as facebook does.
Now, to all you DAR members who are pushing this tranny-insanity, GFY.
(Sorry to my great-grandmother Katie Hooker. Apologies to you, but not to the women who are pushing tranny-insanity.) See less

EXHIBIT D

Back to Exhibit Index

October 15, 2026 — Stigmatizing public accusations.

Screenshot reproduced as supplied. Publication date supplied by the petitioner: October 15, 2026. Source permalink to be supplied.

Alice Beard
5 days ago -

Sad.
The DAR has welcomed trannies (i.e., men who cosplay as women).
AND, the DAR has kicked out women who have objected to trannies in the DAR and who have objected to going along with the absolute lie that men can become women if we just all go along with the gag.

Count me out.
I descend from 10 men who gave service in the American Revolutionary War. All 10 are DAR "Established Patriots."
But the DAR has become one more "what was is no more."
Does the DAR have anything to say about the trannies who have r*ped women in prisons, after idiots have gone along with the lie that a male is a female? See less



THEFEDERALIST.COM
Being Male Won't Get You Kicked Out Of The DAR, But Defending Women Will

6



G

US POSTAGE & FEES PAID IMI
8 OZ GROUND ADVANTAGE RATE
ZONE 1
Commercial

Stamps.com
063S0014950359
10519207
FROM 20006

10/27/2026

USPS GROUND ADVANTAGE™

0001

NSDAR
DAR Store
1776 D St NW
Washington DC 20006-5303

SHIP TO: ALICE BEARD
[redacted]
BETHESDA MD 20817-2404

USPS TRACKING #

9400 1502 0621 7976 4429 60